

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
BUILDING ENERGY RATING SYSTEM (BERS)
STEERING COMMITTEE
OCTOBER 12, 2010
OPTIONS—RECOMMENDATIONS EVALUATION
WORKSHEET

ACCEPTABILITY RANKING EXERCISE

This list of options is a preliminary list and is not meant to be an exhaustive or all inclusive list. The options were extracted from the Pre-meeting Survey. During the meeting Steering Committee members will be asked to propose any additional option(s) they would like the Steering Committee to evaluate, and to develop and rank options, and following discussions and refinements, may be asked to do additional rankings of the options if requested by a Steering Committee member. Members should be prepared to offer specific refinements to address their reservations. The following scale will be utilized for the ranking exercises:

Acceptability Ranking Scale	4 = <i>acceptable, I agree</i>	3 = <i>acceptable, I agree with minor reservations</i>	2 = <i>not acceptable, I don't agree unless major reservations addressed</i>	1 = <i>not acceptable</i>
------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---	----------------------------------

The Steering Committee will develop a package of recommendations for enhancements to the Florida Building Energy Rating System.

STEERING COMMITTEE'S OPTIONS EVALUATION PROCESS OVERVIEW

For each key topical issue area the following format will be used:

- ☛ General discussion with Steering Committee members on the topic/issue;
- ☛ Public comment/input on the key topical issue area under consideration;
- ☛ Identification of new options (if any);
- ☛ Refinements proposed to existing options (to enhance option's acceptability, if possible);
- ☛ Acceptability ranking of options (new, those with some level of support from previous meeting(s), and those an Ad Hoc member proposes to be re-evaluated); and,
- ☛ Additional data/research needs identified, as needed.

During the Meeting(s):

For each of the key topical issue areas, members will be asked to review existing options and invited to propose additional options for Steering Committee consideration. The worksheet is organized, by key topical issue areas with relevant options for each, to address key issues regarding enhancements to the Florida Building Energy Rating System. A preliminary list of options was drafted from the Survey results, and the Steering Committee may add any additional options they deem appropriate. Members should request any information they feel necessary for evaluating an issue, option or range of options. Options with 75% or greater number of 4's and 3's in proportion to 2's and 1's shall be considered consensus draft recommendations.

The Worksheet is organized as follows for residential and commercial buildings: training availability and delivery, testing availability and delivery, timely registration and accessibility, technical support availability, and miscellaneous issues.

RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

Survey Ranking Scale: ⑤=High to ①=Low

1. Training Availability and Delivery

Survey Average: 3.54

Conform the certification program to RESNET standards (eliminate Class 3 and 2 designations).

Provide feedback on test results to assist applicants to understand where they need improvement.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

2. Testing Availability and Delivery

Survey Average: 3.65

Test questions should correlate to field requirements and be vetted for efficacy and validity.

Increase frequency and location(s) of testing.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

3. Timely Rating Registration and Accessibility

Survey Average: 3.73

Certified rating registrations shall be completed within 7 working days of request.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

4. Technical Support Availability

Survey Average: 3.37

Technical support shall be received within 24 working hours of request for support.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

5. Miscellaneous Issues

Provider System

Allow and approve additional rating providers.

Allow and approve additional training providers.

Software Program

Allow and approve additional software options.

Other Topical Issues for Consideration

Cost

Public Awareness

Enforcement

Mentoring Program

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

PUBLIC AND COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

1. Training Availability and Delivery

Survey Average: 3.25

Provide comprehensive handout materials for the training.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

2. Testing Availability and Delivery

Survey Average: 3.50

Test questions should correlate to field requirements and be vetted for efficacy and validity.

Options you would like to have evaluated/ranked by the Steering Committee:

3. Timely Rating Registration and Accessibility**Survey Average: 4.25***Options you would like to have evaluated/ ranked by the Steering Committee:***4. Technical Support Availability****Survey Average: 4.7***Options you would like to have evaluated/ ranked by the Steering Committee:***4. Miscellaneous Issues****Software Program**

Allow and approve additional software options.

*Options you would like to have evaluated/ ranked by the Steering Committee:***Options Ranking Template***Option:*

	<i>4=acceptable</i>	<i>3= minor reservations</i>	<i>2=major reservations</i>	<i>1= not acceptable</i>
<i>Initial Ranking 10/12/10</i>				
<i>Revised</i>				

Member's Comments and Reservations (October 12, 2010):