

**PRODUCT APPROVAL PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
CONCURRENT WITH THE  
STRUCTURAL TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
TELECONFERENCE MEETING FROM TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA**

**WEB URL : <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/545051013>**

**AUDIO: DIAL-IN NUMBER 1 877 309 2070**

**CONFERENCE CODE/MEETING ID: 545-051-013**

**July 27, 2017**

**10:00 A.M. until completion**

## **Minutes**

**PRODUCT APPROVAL PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
PRESENT:**

Jeffrey Stone, Chairman  
Nan Dean  
Brian Swope

David Compton  
Robert Hamberger

**PRODUCT APPROVAL PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
NOT PRESENT:**

E.J. Carlson

David Gilson

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Mo Madani  
Zubeyde Binici  
Jim Hammers

Justin Vogel  
Chris Howell

**MEETING FACILITATION:**

The meeting was facilitated by Jeff Blair from the FCRC Consensus Center at Florida State University. Information at: <http://consensus.fsu.edu/>

**Welcome:**

**Time: 10:00 a.m.**

Mr. Blair welcomed everyone to the concurrent teleconference meeting of the Product Approval Program Oversight Committee and Structural Technical Advisory Committee.

**Roll Call:**

Mr. Blair performed roll call for the Product Approval Program Oversight Committee. A quorum was determined with 5 members present at the time of roll call.

**Teleconference Process:**

Mr. Blair reviewed the teleconference participations process for all participants on the call.

Chairman Stone took over the meeting at this time.

**Agenda Approval:**

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to approve the agenda as posted for today's meeting. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Approval of Minutes and Facilitation Report from June 1, 2017 meetings:**

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to approve the minutes and facilitation report as posted from June 1, 2017 and. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**To consider and discuss declaratory statement DS 2017-030 by Angelo Rivera of Faour Glass Technologies:**

The Petitioner was not on the call.

Mr. Madani provided a detailed statement of the declaratory statement. He then provided the staff analysis for the TAC as follows:

**Question:**

For future testing to be compliant with TAS 201 and sections 1609.1.2, 1625.2.5 and 1626.2.5.2 of the 5th Edition (2014) Florida Building Code, Building, are large missile impacts required at the structural silicone joint?

**To consider and discuss declaratory statement DS 2017-030 by Angelo Rivera of Faour Glass Technologies (cont.):**

**Answer:**

Yes, as per Sections 1626.2.5 and 1626.2.5.2 of the 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017) Florida Building Code, Building, large missile impacts are required on the structural silicone joint, as it is the weakest component of the system.

**Public Comment: None**

**POC Comments: None**

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to accept the Structural TAC recommendation to accept staff analysis with the change to the date and the language as agreed by the TAC to read “Yes, as per Sections 1626.2.5 of the 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2014) Florida Building Code, Building, large missile impacts are required on the structural silicone joint.” Commissioner Hamberger seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Product Approval and Entities Statistics Report:**

Ms. Binici provided the statistical report for product approval and entities for 2014 and 2017.

**Report on conditional approvals from the June 13, 2017 meeting:**

Mr. Madani stated that for product FL 17490 there had been an email received stating they had met compliance, however, staff had not had time to complete the review of material received. He stated if the product has met the compliance they will be approved as this has gone before the Commission as conditional at a prior meeting.

**To consider and discuss declaratory statement DS 2017-036 by Janice Littler Finseth of Structa Wire Corp.:**

Ms. Finseth was present on the call but had no additional comments for the declaratory statement.

Mr. Madani stated this statement was addressed in 2008 under declaratory statement DCA08-DEC-047. He then provided the staff analysis for the POC as follows:

**Question:** Does Structa Wire require a separate Florida Building Report# to meet Florida Building requirements as per Rule 61G20 -3?

**To consider and discuss declaratory statement DS 2017-036 by Janice Littler Finseth of Structa Wire Corp. (cont.):**

**Answer:** No, as per Rule 61G-3.001 Scope and Rule 61G-3.002 Definitions, Structa Wire product is not a structural component and therefore does not fall within the scope of Rule 61G20-3 State Product Approval. However, the product in question is subject to approval by the local authority having jurisdiction.

**Petitioner Comments: None**  
**Public Comments: None**  
**POC Comments: None**

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to accept the staff analysis as provided. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Review of Product Approval & Entity Applications:**

Chairman Stone advised there were 34 Entities on the consent agenda for approval.

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to approve the consent agenda of 34 entities. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Product Approval Applications without Comments consent agenda under 2014 Code:**

Commissioner Stone advised there were 84 products on the consent agenda under the 2014 Code and asked for a motion for approval.

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to approve the consent agenda for the 84 products under the 2104 Code. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Product Approval Applications without Comments consent agenda under 2017 Code:**

Commissioner Stone advised there were 29 products on the consent agenda under the 2017 Code and asked for a motion for approval.

Commissioner Compton entered a motion to approve the consent agenda for the 29 products under the 2107 Code. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Product Approval Applications with Comments under 2014 Code Evaluation:**

**3915-R23** - Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 3915-R23. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**18320-R1** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 18320-R1. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**21892** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 21892. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**22227-R1** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 22227-R1. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**22334** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for approval of 22334. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**22404** – Commissioner Dean entered a motion for approval of 22404. Commissioner Compton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**22551** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 22551. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**22560** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 22560. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**Product Approval Applications with Comments under 2017 Code Evaluation:**

**15551-R3** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for conditional approval of 15551-R3. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**16315-R2** – Commissioner Compton entered a motion for approval of 16315-R2. Commissioner Dean seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 5 to 0.

**DBPR Applications:**

Mr. Madani addressed the DBPR applications and current actions.

Commissioner Stone stated there no action needed by the POC.

**General Public Comment:**

Mike Steele stated he would like to speak on his comments made on Product Approval DBPR application 15769-R3. He repeated his comments and asked for clarification.

Mr. Madani explained that all comments that need to be addressed are required to reference a Code number or Rule to substantiate the comment. He further stated these comments did not comply with the requirement thus they remain just as a comment with no response added from staff.

Mr. Madani further explained the expedited process used for these application approvals and the information that is required for the staff to review.

Mr. Steele then asked to look at 21829 and pointed out the limits of use.

Mr. Blair advised that the process has been explained and no action will take place on this product.

Ted Berman asked for review of several applications listing the first as 6876-R9.

Mr. Blair advised that the product applications on the DBPR list have met the requirements and that Mr. Steele and Mr. Berman may want to discuss this issue outside of the POC meeting.

Mr. Berman withdrew his request to speak.

**POC Member Comments:** None

Mr. Blair performed final roll call, all 5 members were remaining on the line.

**Adjournment:**

Commissioner Stone adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m.