

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION

ATTACHMENT TO THE OCTOBER 29 - 30, 2002 MINUTES

FACILITATOR'S REPORT OF THE OCTOBER 29 - 30, 2002 COMMISSION MEETING

Miami Beach, Florida

Meeting Design & Facilitation By



Report By Jeff A. Blair

jblair@fau.edu

jblair@mailier.fsu.edu

Website: consensus.fsu.edu

This document is available in alternate formats upon request to Dept. of
Community Affairs, Codes & Standards, 2555 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee,
FL 32399,
(850) 487-1824.

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION
Attachment to the November 29 - 30, 2002 Minutes

I. OVERVIEW OF COMMISSION'S KEY DECISIONS

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2002

COMMISSION PLENARY SESSION

Recognition of Florida Building Commission

City of Miami Beach Commissioner Jose Smith and City Manager Jorge Gonzalez presented the Commission with a proclamation declaring October 29, 2002 Florida Building Commission Appreciation day. In addition, Miami-Dade County Commissioner Katy Sorenson presented the Commission with a proclamation declaring October 27, 2002 Florida Building Commission day. All three expressed appreciation to the Chair and Commission for a job well done for the citizens of Florida.

Agenda Review and Approval

The Commission voted unanimously, 17 - 0 in favor, to approve the agenda as presented.

Review and Approval of September 24, 2002 Meeting Minutes

Commission voted unanimously, 17 - 0 in favor, to approve the minutes as presented for the September 24, 2002 Commission meeting.

Review and Approval of Commission's Updated Workplan and Meeting Schedule

Commission facilitator, Jeff Blair reported that there were no substantive changes to the Commission's workplan and no action was needed from the Commission.
(Attachment 2)

Chair's Discussion Issues and Recommendations

Chairman Rodriguez recognized Ila Jones, who reported on Building Code related testing on Florida licensing tests and sales of 2003 glitch amendments replacement pages.

Report and Discussion on Rule Development Workshop Comments on Amendments to Rule 9B-74, Prototype Buildings and Amendment to Rule 9B-3, Private Inspections Forms

Rick Dixon, reported on comments received during the rule development process and the subsequent revised forms. He reported that the rule adoption hearings for rule 9B-74 and 9B-3 would be held at the December 2002 Commission meeting. Following discussion the Commission took the following actions:

Commission Actions:

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the changes as presented and to proceed with rule adoption for Rule 9B-74.

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the changes as presented and to proceed with rule adoption for Rule 9B-3.

Public Comment on Draft Policy for First Update of the FBC

Chairman Rodriguez reminded the Commission of their draft policy for the first update of the Florida Building Code that was approved at the September 2002 Commission meeting and subsequently published on the website. The Chair reminded Commissioners that they are scheduled to make final decisions on their policy for review of the I-Codes and updates for the 2004 edition of the FBC, at the December 2002, Commission meeting. Rick Dixon presented a conceptual process for updating and amending the Code, and the Chair asked if there were any members of the public who would like to provide feedback to the Commission on the draft policy. One member of the public addressed the Commission on this topic earlier in the meeting. There were no additional comments were received from the public.

Consideration of Accessibility Waiver Applications

The Commission reviewed and decided on the Waiver applications submitted for their consideration.

Legal Staff Reports/Discussions/Recommendations and Approval

Jim Richmond reported on the hearing that took place concerning the FPSA Challenge of Pool Alarm Standards. In addition, he introduced attorney Tim Dennis who will also be working with the Commission.

PETITIONS FOR DECLARATORY STATEMENTS

Following are the actions taken by the Commission on petitions for declaratory statements.

SECOND HEARINGS

DCA02-DEC-131 by Pinellas County Building Department

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA02-DEC-213 by Sunguard Shade Structures Inc.

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 16 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA02-DEC-226 by Robert B. Worman

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 16 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA02-DEC-233 by Go-Bolt, Inc.

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 16 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA02-DEC-235 by Wilson Window Glass & Mirror

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

FIRST HEARINGS

DCA02-DEC-250 by Norman Campbell

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-252 by Sam Garber

Motion— Following a proposed amendment which failed by a vote of 7 – 11 in support, the Commission voted 17 – 1 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-254 by William LeMaster

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-255 by Miami-Dade County Building Department

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-256 by C. R. Willis

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-259 by Centex Homes

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-265 by Herminio Enrique

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the TAC/staff recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA02-DEC-270 by Carlos Hermida

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to defer action on the petition until a determination can be made on whether or not cooling towers are considered structures under the Code.

DCA02-DEC-078

Motion— **The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to instruct legal staff to prepare an amendment to the order and present it for Commission consideration at the December 2002 Commission meeting.**

COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Accessibility TAC Workshop Committee Report and Recommend

Commissioner Richardson presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Code Administration TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Thorne presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Electrical TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner McCombs presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Energy TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Bassett presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to report to the US Department of Energy that Florida's energy code exceeds the IEC 2002.

Fire TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner D'Andrea presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to require that in order for an engineer to review plans for fire protection they have to be Chapter 633, F.S. certified as a fire inspector.

Mechanical TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Patterson presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Plumbing TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Shaw presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 17 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Structural TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Parrino presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 17 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Education Program Oversight Committee and Education TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Browdy presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, that the Commission will charge providers \$300.00 per credit hour and DCA will submit courses for licensing board approval in advance of sale to private providers.

Product Approval/Prototype Buildings/Manufactured Buildings Program Oversight Committee

Commissioner Carson presented the committee's report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee's report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to hold a workshop on the Manufactured Buildings Program at the October 2002 Commission meeting and to direct staff to proceed with the rule change process following the workshop.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to hold a Product Approval System workshop in Orlando on November 21, 2002. The workshop will be to discuss and propose solutions to unintended consequences and glitches to the system. The workshop will be limited to specific topics.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to approve the fee/rate structure and execute a contract with Applied Research Associates.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to include lawn storage building kits in the Product Approval Program under "structural components" for both local and state-wide approval.

Action on Applications for Approval for Product Approval Entities

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve American Test Lab as a testing laboratory.

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Certified Testing laboratories as a testing laboratory.

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to defer action on consideration of American Test Lab as a validation entity.

Consideration and Adoption of 2003 Amendments to the Florida Building Code

Chairman Rodriguez welcomed the public to the 2003 Florida Building Code adoption process. He indicated that Jeff Blair would be serving as code moderator in addition to facilitating the Commission through its consideration of proposed code amendments. Jeff Blair explained that he would present a process overview and brief material orientation before Jim Richmond formally opens the code adoption process. Following the formal opening, Jeff Blair explained that he would present a standing motion to approve TAC action, conduct a detailed orientation to the materials provided by staff for reviewing and considering proposed 2003 code amendments, and finally, begin the code review and adoption process.

Process Overview

Proposed amendments for each of the 8 technical code areas would be considered in alphabetical order starting with Code Administration and ending with Structural. Each code area is divided into four major groupings. First, is the consent agenda which contain those amendments which the TAC recommended approval of by a vote of 75% or greater. Second, are those amendments which the TAC approved but modified. Third, are those amendments which the TAC determined met the criteria for consideration, but failed to receive the required 75% support. Fourth, are those amendments which the TAC determined do not meet the criteria for consideration and did not receive a favorable TAC recommendation.

Each Commissioner and members of the public was provided with three binders one of which contained a tracking chart consisting of amendment number, summary of the amendment and any TAC modifications, TAC action, and comments received on the TAC action. The second and third binders contained the eight technical code areas and provided the proposed amendment with fiscal impact analysis and TAC amendments to the proposed amendment, and all comments received on the TAC action.

Consent Agenda Overview

- ❖ Modifications that received a 75% approval by TAC will be on a consent agenda.
- ❖ Commission will solicit public comment on consent agenda modifications.
- ❖ Any Commission member may pull off any modification for consideration on the discussion agenda.
- ❖ Commission will move to approve the consent agenda following opportunity for Commissioner's to remove specific modifications for individual consideration.
- ❖ The standing motion to approve will be used to approve the consent agenda package, a second will be required in order to vote for the amendment.

Discussion Agenda

- ◆ All proposed modifications submitted for review that are not part of the consent agenda will be on the discussion agenda.
- ◆ Each proposed modification will be considered individually.
- ◆ Public comment will be solicited.

- ◆ Following public comment, the Commission will consider motions to approve and will require a 75% favorable vote for approval and modification to the Florida Building Code.
- ◆ Once a motion is made, the floor is closed to public comment except for requests by Commissioners as allowed by the Commission Chair for purposes of clarification.
- ❖ The standing motion to approve will be in effect, a second will be required in order to vote for the amendment.

Code Amendment Review and Consideration Process Overview

- ❖ Facilitator will serve as moderator.
- ❖ One person speaks at a time.
- ❖ Limit your comment and be concise.
- ❖ Do not read lengthy prepared statements; Summarize and submit complete comment text for the record.
- ❖ Offer new points or state agreement with previous speakers; Please do not repeat what has been stated.
- ❖ The Commission wants to hear all view points, but not repeats of the same views.
- ❖ Facilitator will assist with process guidelines/groundrules.

- ❖ Facilitator will introduce amendment.
- ❖ Proponents of proposed amendment will speak first.
- ❖ Opponents of proposed amendment will follow proponents.
- ❖ Each side (proponent/opponent) will be allowed one counterpoint opportunity.
- ❖ Standing motion in effect.
- ❖ Rationale and fiscal impact data reviewed.
- ❖ Clarifying questions by Commission members only.
- ❖ Staff, proponent, or identified commenter will respond to Commission questions.
- ❖ Once a motion is on the floor discussion is limited to Commission members except as allowed by the Chair.
- ❖ Commission amendments to proposed amendments require additional public comment and fiscal impact review.
- ❖ A standing motion to approve will be in effect, a second will be required in order to vote for the amendment.
- ❖ Motions require a 75% favorable vote for approval.

Standing Motion to Approve

Motion – After reviewing the amendment process the Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to adopt the standing motion (found below) to approve TAC recommendations and to keep the motion in effect for the 2002 review of proposed 2003 code amendments.

Move to approve the proposed amendment as presented to The Commission by the proponent of the Technical Advisory Council (TAC) based on the following findings:

- A. The amendment has a reasonable and substantial connection to the health, safety, and welfare of the general public; and,
- B. The amendment does not degrade the effectiveness of the Code and either strengthens or improves the Code or provides for innovation or new technology by allowing equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction; and,
- C. The amendment does not discriminate against products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities; and,

- D. The amendment has the following fiscal impact:
1. The fiscal impact of enforcement imposed upon local government is as indicated by staff review.
 2. The fiscal impact of compliance imposed upon property and building owners is as indicated by staff review.
 3. The fiscal impact of compliance imposed upon industry is as indicated by staff review.
- E. The amendment's benefits noted with regard to fiscal impact and efficacy outweigh the costs imposed.

Materials Review

Jeff Blair conducted a detailed review and orientation to the amendment materials by using an example from all 6 tabs of the Structural code. The organization of the materials is as follows: tab 3A approved as submitted, 3B approved as amended, 3C <75% approval but meets the criteria; 3C <75% approval and meets no criteria, 3D withdrawn by proponent, and 3E comments on TAC action.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2002 AND WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2002

Consideration and Adoption of 2003 Amendments to the Florida Building Code

The Commission reviewed all amendments submitted for consideration including those that did not receive TAC recommendations or affirmative votes. The Commission considered a consent agenda for each of the 8 technical code areas. The consent items were recommended by the TAC for approval as submitted. The process allowed for the Commission to review the consent agenda, solicit public comment, and provided Commission members an opportunity to place any of the consent amendments on the discussion agenda where it would be considered separately. Following consideration of the consent agenda, the Commission reviewed each amendment submitted for consideration by providing an opportunity for public comment and then discussing the amendment, reviewing fiscal impact data, and then deciding on each of the amendments. This process was followed for each of the 8 technical code areas.

Commission Actions:

Motion – The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 - 0 in favor, to add to Chapter 35 any standards adopted during the code review process (October 29 - 30, 2002) that were not previously recognized by the code and to amend Chapter 35 to identify any updated editions of previously recognized standards adopted during the code adoption process (October 29 - 30, 2002).

Motion – Following consideration and decision on all the amendments submitted for consideration, the Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor, to

approve the entire package of approved amendments and to proceed with the Chapter 120 rule adoption process.
(A summary of actions on the amendments is included as Attachment 3)

Public Comment

Chairman Rodriguez invited members of the public to address the Commission. There were no members of the public who wished to address the Commission.

Commission Member Questions/Comments

- ❖ Commissioner Gonzalez requested that staff research and report back at the December meeting about the possibility of holding a Commission meeting next October or November 2003 in Miami.
- ❖ Commissioner Bassett requested that Commission package cover letters are sent prior to cut-off dated for making hotel reservations and are correct.

Committee Assignments

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| ❖ Accessibility Advisory Council and Waiver applications | ✓ | |
| ❖ Accessibility TAC | ✓ | 4 Hours |
| ❖ Code Administration TAC and Rehab subcommittees | ✓ | 4 Hours |
| ❖ Education | ✓ | 2 Hours |
| ❖ Electrical | ✓ | 4 Hours |
| ❖ Energy | ✓ | 4 Hours |
| ❖ Fire | <input type="checkbox"/> | If Dec. |
| ❖ Mechanical | ✓ | 2 Hours |
| ❖ Plumbing TAC | <input type="checkbox"/> | If Dec. |
| ❖ Special Occupancy | ✓ | Workshop |
| ❖ Structural TAC | <input type="checkbox"/> | If Dec. |
| ❖ Education Program Oversight (POC) Committee | ✓ | 2 Hours |
| ❖ Product Approval/Prototype Buildings/Manufactured Buildings POC | ✓ | 4 Hours |

Additional Meetings/Workshops

- ❖ Product Approval System workshop – November 21, 2002
- ❖ Rule Adoption hearing on 2003 code amendments
- ❖ Rule Adoption hearing on Prototype Buildings – Rule 9B-74
- ❖ Rule Adoption hearing on private inspection forms – Rule 9B-3

Policy Issues for December 2002 Meeting

- ❖ First update of Florida Building Code policy
- ❖ Recommendations to the Legislature on Rehabilitation Code
- ❖ Consideration of Building Code Training Program transfer to DBPR

Staff Assignments

- ❖ Provide summary of draft policy for first update of the FBC
- ❖ Report on possibility of holding Commission meeting in Miami in late 2002

ATTACHMENT 1

MEETING EVALUATION RESULTS

HOW WELL DID THE COMMISSION ACHIEVE THE MEETING OBJECTIVES?

	<i>Circle One</i>				
	<u>+++ ← → ---</u>				
❖ Chair's Discussion Issues/Recommendations	5	4	3	2	1
	14	2	0	0	0=4.88
◆ Consideration of Changes to Rules 9B-74 and 9B-3	5	4	3	2	1
	14	3	0	0	0=4.82
❖ Public Comment on Draft Policy for First Update of the FBC	5	4	3	2	1
	13	4	0	0	0=4.76
❖ Accessibility Waiver Applications	5	4	3	2	1
	12	4	1	0	0=4.64
❖ Requests for Declaratory Statements	5	4	3	2	1
	12	4	1	0	0=4.64
◆ TAC Reports and Recommendations	5	4	3	2	1
❖ POC Reports and Recommendations	5	4	3	2	1
	14	3	0	0	0=4.82
❖ Consideration of 2003 Amendments to the Florida Building Code	5	4	3	2	1
	11	4	1	0	1=4.35
❖ Public Comment	5	4	3	2	1
	9	5	1	0	0=4.53
❖ Assignments and Issues for Next Meeting	5	4	3	2	1
	11	4	1	0	0=4.63

RATE THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS OF THE MEETING?

	<u>+++ ← → ---</u>				
✓ Clarity of the meeting purpose and plan	5	4	3	2	1
	13	3	1	0	0=4.71
✓ Background information was helpful	5	4	3	2	1
	10	6	0	1	0=4.47
✓ Agenda packet was helpful	5	4	3	2	1
	11	5	1	0	0=4.59
✓ Balance of structure and flexibility	5	4	3	2	1
	10	6	1	0	0=4.53
✓ Group involvement and productivity	5	4	3	2	1
	11	5	1	0	0=4.59
✓ Facilitation	5	4	3	2	1

✓ Facility	14	2	1	0	0=4.76
	5	4	3	2	1
	8	5	3	1	0=4.18

COMMENTS:

- ✓ The facilitator is responsible for leading us (23) efficiently through a very complicated process!
- ✓ Facilitation was outstanding. Jeff was on point, succinct, and kept the meetings on track
- ✓ Good meeting, many thanks to staff
- ✓ Meeting went well considering it was the first code-change hearings
- ✓ Good meeting and a great place
- ✓ The Dec. statements and tabs were confusing, however, chair provided cross reference as meeting proceeded
- ✓ Good meeting over all

WHAT DID YOU LIKE BEST ABOUT THE MEETING?

- ✓ Facilitation was most helpful in moving the commission through the code amendment process.
- ✓ Jeff did a very good job keeping the meeting going. Staff had info in good order.
- ✓ The Eden Roc!
- ✓ The Hotel
- ✓ Cooperative atmosphere among us.
- ✓ I appreciated the staff effort in arranging the code mods. and backup data.

- ✓ Short lunch-good idea to keep this busy meeting moving.

HOW COULD THE MEETING HAVE BEEN IMPROVED?

- ✓ The hotel was not in any condition to host this meeting. Noise during the committee mtgs. as well as a lack of usable restrooms-not good!
- ✓ The sound system made it difficult to understand the speakers. Recommend using microphones with on/off buttons
- ✓ The sound engineering in the room was most inadequate
- ✓ The code change process and guidelines to TAC must be better adhered to or we'll always have chaos!!!

ATTACHMENT 2

COMMISSION ADOPTED WORKPLAN

WORKPLAN BY TASK

AA 2002 Glitch Amendments and Update to New Editions of Model Building Code

Schedule for 2003 Glitch Amendments:

Amendment submittal cutoff	6/14/02
Post on website	6/26/02
TAC's consider	8/12/02
Post TAC recommendations on website	8/26/02
Commission considers	10/28/02
Rule hearing	12/10/02
Effective date of amendments	3/10/03

Schedule for 2004 Base Codes Update:

Amendment submittal cutoff (independent submittals)	2/28/03
Post on website (independent/base code updates/local amends)	3/21/03
TAC's consider	5/12-14/03
Post TAC recommendations on website	5/23/03
Commission considers	7/14-16/03
Rule hearing	8/25-26/03
Effective date of first update	3/01/04

BB Develop Policy for Transition to International Building and Residential Codes and Updating to 2003 IPC, IMC AND IFGC

Schedule:

Public workshops	May-Jul 2002
Proposed transition plan completed	Sep 2002
Public hearing on proposed plan	Oct 2002
Final Decision on proposed plan	Dec 2002
Submit changes to 2004 base codes update (see AA)	Feb 2003
Effective date	Mar 2004

CC Collaborative Initiatives on Technical Support of the Code

Initiatives:

1. Industry outreach via initiatives of industry associations
Contract with BASF
2. Establish informal code interpretation joint venture with BOAF
See additional project 4.
3. Develop forms for use with privatized plans review and inspections
See additional project 5.

4. Voluntary Standards for Building Departments [HB 4181/s.553.76(5), F.S.]
See FF

DD Joint Project with SFM to Develop Fire Prevention Code for Historic Buildings

Schedule:

Organizational and planning meeting of joint FBC Fire TAC and SFM Fire Code Advisory Council	Mar 2002
Building Code standards complete	Oct 2002
Submitted for 2003 code amendment cycle	Feb 2003
Standards adopted and effective	Mar 2004

EE Develop Commentaries for the Code and Each Subcode

Plan:

Phase I Investigate and determine formatting

Phase II Develop commentaries

Schedule:

Phase I	Aug-Sep 2002
Phase II	
Authorize issuing a RFP	Sep 2002
Select a contractor (defer to DCA)	Oct 2002
Develop draft commentaries	Dec 2002-Apr 2003
TACs Review and refine commentaries	Jun-Jul 2003
Commission approves	Aug 2003

FF Voluntary Standards for Building Departments [HB 4181/s.553.76(5), F.S.]

Plan:

Establish a joint development project with the state building officials association,
(BOAF),

with BOAF as lead.

Schedule:

Initiate project at BOAF board meeting	Aug 2002
Select contractor (defer to FBC/BOAF committee and DCA)	Oct 2002
Draft standards for public review completed	Jul 2002
Public comment and finalize draft	Aug-Dec 2003
Standards finalized	Jan 2004

GG Deleted 9/02

HH Appeals Procedures [98-287, LOF/ss.553.73 & .77 & 2000-141, LOF/s.120.80,FS]
Schedule:
Assign to Code Admn TAC for review Sep 2002
Develop any necessary Code amendments and/or changes to law Sep-Dec 2002
Submit for 2003 Code amendment cycle Feb 2003
Effective date Mar 2004

II ISO Ratings Program for Building Departments
Plan:
Assist local governments with obtaining best ratings by establishing policies to transition to the International codes as quickly as possible and to keep the base codes updated with few amendments in the minimum time possible.

Note: The ISO Building Code Effectiveness Grading System program (BCEGS) is a private sector grading program used by insurance companies to judge building code effectiveness in communities and establish property insurance rates accordingly. The program is nationwide and staff advise the best approach to assisting Florida communities obtain the best ratings are to update and maintain the FBC in a responsible manner and to pursue efforts to assist building departments improve their physical and personnel resources.

Additional task #1 Deleted 9/02

Additional task #2 Revise Building Code Training Program rule for “advanced courses” and course approval criteria.

Schedule:
Workshops on draft rule amendment Jul-Aug 2002
Recommendation to Commission Aug 2002
Discussions with DBPR on program transfer Sep-Nov 2002
Finalize recommendations to Legislature Dec 2002

Additional task #3 Develop building code provisions to be added to the Florida Building Code to facilitate the rehabilitation and use of existing structures.

Schedule:
Residential and Commercial building rehab committees established Mar 2002
Draft code amendments completed Oct 2002
Draft revisions to law completed Oct 2002
Report to the Legislature completed Dec 2002
Submitted for 2003 code amendment cycle Feb 2003
Standards adopted and effective Mar 2004

Additional task #4 Establish procedures for advisory opinions and adopt by rule.

Schedule:

Consider partnership with BOAF	May 2002
BOAF/Staff develop proposed procedures	May-Jun 2002
Approve procedures	July 2002
Procedure goes into effect (law allows effective before rule)	Aug 2002
RFP issued and contractor hired	Aug-Sep 2002
Rule development workshop	Dec 2002
Rule hearing	Jan 2003
Rule effective	Feb 2003

Additional task #5 Develop forms for use with private inspections:

a. Notification of selection of private inspections submitted with permit applications. s.553.891(4), F.S.

b. Affidavit to be submitted by private plans reviewer indicating the individual reviewed the plans and the plans comply with the Code s.553.891(5), F.S.

Note: there are two additional forms identified by law which are left to the building official's discretion. It is proposed that BOAF develop models for these forms.

Schedule:

Consider partnership with BOAF	Jul 2002
BOAF/Commission Staff develop draft forms	Jul-Aug 2002
Rule development workshop on draft forms	Sep 2002
Rule hearing	Dec 2002
Rule effective	Jan 2003

Additional task #6 Review the implementation of s.553.891, F.S., Alternative Plans Review and Inspections, and report to the Legislature on or before January 1, 2004.

Schedule:

Consider timing of study and determine whether to recommend additional time and/or authorize RFP	Sep 2002
Review and approve RFP to hire contractor	Jan 2003
Select contractor and initiate study	Mar 2003
Complete study and begin Commission review	Oct 2003
Complete report to the Legislature	Dec 2003

Additional task #7 Establish standards and criteria for foundation permits and other "specialty permits".

(CS/CS/SB 336 & 180, 2001)

Schedule:

Assign to Code Administration TAC	Sep 2002
Recommendations for criteria	Jan 2003
Submit for 2004 FBC edition amendment	Feb 28, 2003

ATTACHMENT 3

SUMMARY OF COMMISSION ACTION ON PROPOSED 2003 AMENDMENTS TO THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE

Section:	Mod No.	Action	Commission Act
Code Administration	078, 262, 076	Approved as Submitted	Consent, 18-0
Code Administration	2	Approved as Modified	18-0
	250	Approved as Modified	18-0
	248	Approved as Modified	17-1
	77	Approved as Modified	Amended 18-0, approved as amended 18-0
	137	Approved as Modified	18-0
	253	Approved as Modified	18-0
Code Administration	document 3-C	no affirmative recommendation	meet criteria, <75% vote
Code Administration	79	no action to approve	
	243	Approved with comments	19-0
	75	no action to approve	
Electrical	270	Approved as Submitted	18-0
Electrical	86	Approved as Modified	18-0
Electrical	document 3-C	no affirmative recommendation	meet criteria, <75% vote
Electrical	188	no action to approve	
Energy	282, 281, 287, 074	approved as submitted	Consent, 19-0
Energy	32	Approved as Modified	19-0
Energy	206, 107	no action to approve	
Energy	206, 181	no action to approve	
Fire	062, 237, 024, 265,	Approved as Submitted	Consent, 19-0
Fire	238	Approved as Modified	18-0
	254	Amendment 18-0, reconsider 18-0	Approved as amended 18-0
	263	Approved as Modified	19-0
Fire	236	Approved as Modified	18-0
	168	no action to approve	
	239	Amendment 18-0, reconsider 18-0	Approved as amended 17-0
Fire	146		0-17
	159	no action to approve	
Mechanical	255, 277, 257, 280, 085, 247	Approved as Submitted	16-0

Mechanical	87	Amendment 16-0	Approved as amended 17-0
	285	Approved as Modified	17-0
Mechanical	174	Approved as Submitted	16-2
	256	Approved as Submitted	18-0
	286	not approved	02-013
	149	Approve modification as proposed 8-9, reconsider 14-4	Original Amendment 13-5
Mechanical	162	failed 13-5, reconsider 13-4	Approved as amended 15-1
Plumbing	81, 083, 039, 041, 252, 031, 056, 251, 047, 186, 046, 045,	Approved as Submitted	Consent, 18-0
Plumbing	80	Approved as Modified	18-0
	108	Approved as Modified	17-0
	38	Approved as Modified	17-0
	272	Approved as Modified	16-1
	128	Approved as Modified	17-0
Plumbing	141	no action to approve	
	140	no action to approve	
	82	approve with modifications	15-1
	127	no action to approve	
	142	no action to approve	
	138	no action to approve	
	170	withdraw	
Plumbing	document 3-C	no affirmative recommendation	meets no criteria
Special Occupancy	084, 139, 190, 129	Approved as Submitted	Consent 17-0
Special Occupancy	115	Approved as Modified	17-0
	116	Approved as Modified	17-0
	117	Approved as Modified	17-0
	209	Approved as Modified	17-0
	189	Approved as Modified	17-0
Special Occupancy	156	withdraw	
Special Occupancy	134	no action to approve	
Structural	241, 010, 267, 193, 202, 195, 101, 197, 198, 273, 230, 271, 278, 110, 240, 164, 015, 231, 203, 013, 227, 228, 199, 200, 201, 210, 100, 114, 113, 111, 136, 212, 133	Approved as Submitted	Consent, 18-0
	154	Amendment 17-0	Approved as amended 17-0
Structural	96	Approved as Modified	17-0

	14	Approved as Modified	17-0
	57	Approved as Modified	17-0
	242	Approved as Modified	17-0
	284	Approved as Modified	18-0
	268	Approved as Modified	18-0
	166	Amendment 1-18	Approved as amended 19-0
	94	Approved with comments	19-0
	185	Approved 17-0, reconsider 17-0	Approved as amended 17-0
	269	Approved as Modified	16-0
	180	Failed 11-6, Reconsider 18-0	Approved as amended 17-2
	167	Approved as Modified	15-1
	283	failed 11-5, reconsider 17-0	Approved as amended 19-0
	233	Approved as Modified	17-0
	175	Amendment 18-1	Approved as amended 19-0
	275	Approved with comments	19-0
Structural	211	Approved with comments	17-0
	204	Approved as Modified	18-0
	232	no action to approve	
	176	no action to approve	
	177	no action to approve	
	207	no action to approve	
	11	no action to approve	
	191	no action to approve	
	214	no action to approve	
	215	no action to approve	
	220	no action to approve	
	12	no action to approve	
	264	no action to approve	
	266	Approved as amended 18-0	
	55	no action to approve	
	225	no action to approve	
Structural	194	no action to approve	
	235	Approved with comments	18-0
	178	no action to approve	
	17	no action to approve	
	58	Approved by FBC	17-1
	21	no action to approve	
	22	no action to approve	
	258	no action to approve	
	234	Amendment approved 18-0	Approved as amended 16-2
	126	no action to approve	
	97	Approved as Amended	19-0
	29	no action to approve	
	131	no action to approve	

223	no action to approve
216	no action to approve
217	no action to approve
218	no action to approve
219	no action to approve
221	no action to approve
222	no action to approve