

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION

ATTACHMENT TO THE OCTOBER 13 - 14, 2003 MINUTES

FACILITATOR'S REPORT OF THE OCTOBER 13 - 14, 2003
COMMISSION PLENARY SESSION

Orlando, Florida

Meeting Design & Facilitation By



Report By Jeff A. Blair
Florida State University

jblair@mailor.fsu.edu
[http:// consensus.fsu.edu](http://consensus.fsu.edu)

This document is available in alternate formats upon request to Dept. of Community Affairs, Codes & Standards, 2555 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, FL 32399, (850) 487-1824.

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION

ATTACHMENT TO THE OCTOBER 13 - 14, 2003 MINUTES

OVERVIEW OF COMMISSION'S KEY DECISIONS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2003

Agenda Review and Approval

The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to approve the agenda as amended.

Amendments

Add final order for Lovelace Gas Service, Inc. to agenda.

Rule Development Workshop for Rule 9B-72.100 and .800 changed to regular workshop.

Workshop on Equivalency of Test Standards and Recognition of International Code Council IAS/IES, Rule 9B-72.100 and Rule 9B-72.180

The Commission provided an additional opportunity for members of the public to offer feedback on this issue. Chairman Rodriguez indicated that there will be a rule development workshop at the November 2003 meeting. There were no additional public comments on proposed equivalency standards.

Workshop on Private Plans Review and Inspections

Chairman Rodriguez explained that the workshop would follow the following format:

- ✓ Report overview
- ✓ Clarifying questions from Commission members
- ✓ Public Comment on the issues
- ✓ Facilitated Commission Discussion
- ✓ Next steps/Commission Action

Bob Stroh from the University of Florida's Shimberg Center for Affordable Housing presented an overview of the Center's findings. Following public comment and Commission discussion, the Commission voted to accept the assessment and review comments at the November 2003 Commission meeting prior to approval for submittal to the Legislature.

Commission Actions:

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to accept the assessment report and to consider comments and any refinements at the November 2003 Commission meeting.

Consideration of Technical Advisory Committee Recommendations for Approval of Statewide and Local Amendments

Chairman Rodriguez welcomed Commissioners and members of the public to the amendment process. The Chair indicated that Jeff Blair, Commission facilitator, would be serving as a moderator for the code amendment process. Jeff Blair provided an overview of the Commission's approved process (unanimous vote at the August 2003 Commission meeting) for use during the 2004 code review process. Below is a summary of key components to the process:

- ◆ A standing motion to approve will be in effect. A second will be required to consider an amendment. If no second is received, the proposed amendment dies for a lack of a second and by default is not approved.
- ◆ Any modifications by Commission members will require addressing fiscal analysis.
- ◆ Review proposed amendments by 8 subject areas in alphabetical order.
- ◆ Each subject area has four sets of TAC actions and each area would be considered either by a consent or discussion (individual consideration) agenda.
 - ✓ Approved by TAC as submitted (consent agenda)
 - ✓ Approved as modified by TAC (discussion agenda)
 - ✓ Received less than a 75% favorable vote by the TAC (consent agenda per unanimous vote at October 2003 Commission meeting)
 - ✓ Received no second at the TAC (consent agenda)
- ◆ For each consent agenda, moderator will read amendment numbers and ask if any member of the public wishes to speak on any of the consent agenda amendments.
- ◆ Moderator will ask if any Commission member wishes to pull off any consent agenda amendments and consider them individually as a part of the discussion agenda.
- ◆ Balance of consent agenda amendments (those not pulled for discussion agenda) will be seconded and approved as a package. This will be done individually for each of the three consent agendas.
- ◆ Moderator will introduce balance of amendments (those not on a consent agenda) separately by offering members of the public an opportunity to comment.
- ◆ Following public comment a second will be solicited and if received, the Commission will discuss, refine, and vote for the amendment. If no second, amendment dies and moderator proceeds to the next amendment.
- ◆ This entire process will be repeated for each of the 8 subject areas.
- ◆ At the conclusion of the review process, the Commission will vote to approve the entire package of 2004 code amendments.

Commission Actions:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 - 0 in favor, to approve the standing motion for use during the 2004 code review process.

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 - 0 in favor, to consider those amendments that did not receive a 75% favorable recommendation from the TACs as a third consent agenda package.

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 19 - 0 in favor, to approve the entire package of recommendations reflecting their actions on proposed statewide and local code amendments.

Motion—The Commission voted, 18 - 1 in favor, to direct staff to correlate the Florida Building Code requirements (definitions, terminology, and references) with the provisions of Rule 9B-72 (Product Evaluation and Approval Rule).

Adopted Standing Motion To Approve Amendments To The Florida Building Code

Move to approve the proposed amendment as presented to The Commission by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) based on the following findings:

- A. The amendment has a reasonable and substantial connection to the health, safety, and welfare of the general public; and,
- B. The amendment does not degrade the effectiveness of the Code and either strengthens or improves the Code or provides for innovation or new technology by allowing equivalent or better products, methods, or systems of construction; and,
- C. The Amendment does not discriminate against products, methods, or systems of construction of demonstrated capabilities; and,
- D. The Amendment has the following fiscal impact:
 - 1. The fiscal impact of enforcement imposed upon local government is as indicated by TAC review.
 - 2. The fiscal impact of compliance imposed upon property and building owners is as indicated by TAC review.
 - 3. The fiscal impact of compliance imposed upon industry is as indicated by TAC review.
- E. The Amendment's benefits noted with regard to fiscal impact and efficacy outweigh the costs imposed.

Recess

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 19 – 0 in favor, to recess the plenary session until Tuesday, October 14, 2003 at 8:30 AM.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2003 COMMISSION PLENARY SESSION

Agenda Review and Approval

The Commission voted unanimously, 14 - 0 in favor, to approve the agenda as amended at the Monday plenary session.

Review and Approval of August 26, 2003 Meeting Minutes

The Commission voted unanimously, 14 - 0 in favor, to approve the minutes as presented for the August 26, 2003 Commission meeting.

Review and Approval of Commission's Updated Workplan

Commission Actions:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to approve the updated workplan as amended.

Amendments

Product Approval Rule recognition of equivalent standards dates changed to rule development workshop in November 2003 and rule adoption and effective dates changed to January 2004.

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 18 - 0 in favor, to approve the updated workplan for the development of the 2004 FBC using the IBC as base documents. In addition, the Commission selected option 2 for Chapters 9 and 10.

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 16 - 0 in favor, to approve the revised 2004 meeting schedule and locations plan.

(Attachment 2—Commission's Updated Workplan)

Chair's Discussion Issues/Recommendation

TAC Appointments

Chairman Rodriguez made the following TAC appointments:

9 Steve Bassett as chair to the Mechanical TAC

10 Steve Corn as chair to the Energy TAC

11 Gary Durham as member of the Product Approval POC

TAC Roles and Assignments

Chairman Rodriguez indicated that in the future, TACs will be making their recommendations to the Commission by subject area, rather than by code volume or code chapter as has been done in the past.

The Chair indicated that he has assigned staff with the initial drafting for reorganization of the Code for integrating the IBC, IRC, and IEBC, as well as relocating certain Florida specific requirements to more appropriate sub-codes, chapters, or sections of the Code. In addition, staff was tasked with negotiating organization and packaging decisions with the contractor selected to publish the Code.

Consideration of Accessibility Waiver Applications

The Commission reviewed and decided on the Waiver applications submitted for their consideration.

Legal Staff Reports/Discussions/Recommendations and Approval

Commission Actions:

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, 17 - 0 in favor, to approve the recommended final order denying Lovelace Gas Services Inc.'s petition to initiate rulemaking.

PETITIONS FOR DECLARATORY STATEMENTS

Following are the actions taken by the Commission on petitions for declaratory statements. Jim Richmond served as legal counsel for the Commission.

SECOND HEARINGS

DCA03-DEC-131 by Alfonso Fernandez-Fraga of Initial Engineers

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA03-DEC-196 by Grant E. Tolbert of Hernando County Dev. Dept.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA03-DEC-219 by Peter K. Coleman of Weathermaster Building Products, Inc

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to dismiss the petition.

DCA03-DEC-222 by Suzanne T. Graham of American Pest Control Management

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

DCA03-DEC-223 by Leonard A. Tylka, Jr. of LTL Associates, Inc.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to approve their previous action on the petition.

FIRST HEARINGS

DCA03-DEC-173 by Timothy J. Orie of Superior Aluminum Installations

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 19 - 0 in favor, to approve the TAC recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA03-DEC-179 by James E. Agen of Wilson Window Glass & Mirror

Motion—The Commission voted 14 – 5 in favor, to approve the TAC recommendation on the petition as presented.

DCA03-DEC-247 by George Hegedus of Structural Systems, Inc. (and)

DCA03-DEC-261 by Robert Andrews of Broward County

Motion—The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to consolidate 247 and 261 based on presentation of the same issue, and to approve the TAC recommendation on the petitions as presented.

COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Commission agreed that unless a TAC/POC required specific Commission action, the balance of the reports would be submitted into the record and approved as a part of the August’s meeting minutes approval process.

Accessibility TAC Committee Report and Recommendations

Commissioner Gross presented the committee’s workshop report, there was not a quorum present. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee’s workshop report by a vote of 17 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Mechanical TAC

Commissioner Bassett presented the committee’s report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee’s report by a vote of 17 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Structural TAC

Commissioner Parrino presented the committee’s report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee’s report by a vote of 18 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Product Approval/Prototype Buildings/Manufactured Buildings Program Oversight Committee

Commissioner Carson presented the committee’s report and recommendations for Commission consideration. The Commission unanimously accepted the Committee’s report by a vote of 20 - 0 in favor. (See Commission Minutes for Committee report)

Commission Actions:

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to revise the fee structure for the Manufactured Buildings Program as submitted.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to proceed to implement the proposed changes to the Prototype Buildings Program.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to conditionally approve the selection of the CPA firm of Patersol and Patersol to conduct the audit of the Prototype Buildings Program and to accept certified quarterly financial statements until the threshold of \$300,000 of program expenditures has been reached. Conditional approval will be based on staff confirming that the firm is in good standing with the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to extend the contract with Applied Research Associates as the administrator of the Prototype Buildings Program.

Action on Applications for Approval for Product Approval Entities

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve the ICC Evaluation Service, Inc. as an evaluation entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Architectural Testing, Inc. – Minnesota as a test laboratory.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve FM Approvals – Testing Lab as a test laboratory.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Timber Products Inspection, Inc. as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve PSI/Pittsburg Testing Laboratory as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve National Accreditation and Management Institute as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Keystone Certifications, Inc. as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve FM Approvals – QA as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Architectural Testing, Inc. as a quality assurance entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve PRI Asphalt as a validation entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Underwriters Laboratories as a validation entity.

Move to approve Architectural Testing, Inc. as a validation entity.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve Architectural Testing, Inc. as a validation entity.

Product Applications

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve staff's authority to grant conditional approvals for entities until the November meeting.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve product approval applications by compliance method using a consent agenda.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 18 – 0 in favor, to approve product application number 131 submitted as an evaluation report from Florida Registered Architect or Florida Professional Engineer.

Motion— The Commission voted 16 – 3 in favor, to approve product application numbers 389, 418, 441, 478, 483, 405, 419, 417, and 388 submitted as evaluation reports from Florida Registered Architects or Florida Professional Engineers.

Motion— The Commission voted 18 – 1 in favor, to approve product application numbers 367, 347, 393, 362, 280, 323, 520, 158, 333, 401, 411, 78, 183, 196, 197, 206, 361, 170, 171, 173, 174, 236, 240, 244, 321, 116, 117, 118, 119, 423, 336, 234, 322, 146, 162, 519, 334, 217, 474, 503, 538, 312, 316, 317, and 22 submitted as evaluation reports from a product evaluation entity.

Motion— The Commission voted 18 – 1 in favor, to approve product application numbers 498, 539, 318, 102, 285, 370, and 114 submitted as test reports.

Motion— The Commission voted 17 – 2 in favor, to approve product application numbers 342, 343, 385, 386, 250, 477, 478, 490, 491, 205, 404, 157, 161, 149, 153, 163, 259, 294, 98, 180, 248, 252, 304, 518, 510, 516, 517, 537, 45, 42, 43, 44, 264, 254, 255, 256, 262, 263, 398, 399, 467, 468, 469, 369, 397, 392, 394, 395, 126, 123, 124, 125, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 258, 269, 351, 352, 212, 202, 208, 209, 211, 85, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 251, 253, 328, 331, 214, 239, 242, 243, 245, 261, 324, 325, 326, 329, 330, 221, 138, 132, 133, 134, 135, 137, 476, 109, 181, 184, 229, 56, 57, 107, 108, 143, 178, 179, 203, 204, 213, 219, 224, 226, 228, 402, 276, 308, 310, 314, 315, 288, 292, 293, 185, 188, 189, 190, 191, 451, 225, 227, and 511 submitted as certification marks or listings.

Motion— The Commission voted 19 – 1 in favor, to approve product application numbers 18, 19, and 20 submitted as certification marks or listings, but have staff write letter to certification agency explaining standards related to air, water, forced entry and weathering of plastic.

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 20 – 0 in favor, to conduct a workshop for metal buildings under Rule 9B-72.

Additional Commission Actions

Commissioner Bassett requested that the agenda for each meeting have a placeholder after public comment for **Commission Member Comments/Issues**.

Public Comment

Chairman Rodriguez provided members of the public with an additional opportunity to address the Commission.

Committee Assignments/Meetings Required

Accessibility Advisory Council and Waiver applications	Yes
Accessibility TAC	Yes
Code Administration TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Education TAC	Yes
Electrical TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Energy TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Fire TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Mechanical TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Plumbing TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Special Occupancy TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Structural TAC	No, unless Dec. statement
Product Approval/Prototype Buildings/Manufactured Buildings POC	Yes

Workshop on metal buildings (Rule 9B-72)

Staff Assignments

- ✓ Report on code formatting/correlating recommendations.
- ✓ Hold a Product Approval workshop with stakeholders to discuss system problems.
- ✓ Use overhead projector to project the workplan while reviewing.
- ✓ Recommend website improvements to make more user friendly and easier to maneuver.
- ✓ Email documents needed at the meeting in advance and bring the hard copies to the meeting to avoid duplication and older versions being printed.
- ✓ Consider providing laptops to Commission members to reduce waste and duplication.

Adjourn

Motion— The Commission voted unanimously, by a vote of 17 – 0 in favor, to adjourn the plenary session.

ATTACHMENT 1
EVALUATION RESULTS

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION
October 13 - 14, 2003—Orlando, FL
Meeting Evaluation

INSTRUCTIONS: PLEASE USE A 0 TO 10 RATING SCALE WHERE A 0 MEANS TOTALLY DISAGREE AND A 10 MEANS TOTALLY AGREE. PLEASE PLACE YOUR RATING IN THE SPACE TO THE LEFT OF EACH QUESTION

1. Please assess the overall meeting.

- 9.6 The background information was very useful.
- 9.5 The agenda packet was very useful.
- 9.8 The objectives for the meeting were stated at the outset.
- 9.5 Overall, the objectives for the meeting were fully achieved.
- 9.6 Accessibility Waiver Applications
- 9.8 Chair's Issues and Recommendations
- 8.6 Rule Development Workshop on Rule 9B-72.100 and Rule 9B-72.180
- 8.9 Workshop on Private Inspection Forms
- 9.3 Consideration of 2004 Statewide and Local Amendments
- 9.6 Declaratory Statements
- 9.6 TAC/POC Reports and Recommendations

2. Please tell us how well the facilitator(s) helped the participants engage in the meeting.

- 9.4 The participants followed the direction of the facilitator.
- 9.4 The facilitator made sure the concerns of all participants were heard.
- 9.3 The facilitator helped us arrange our time well.
- 9.7 Participant input was documented accurately.

3. What is your level of satisfaction with the meeting?

- 9.2 Overall, I am very satisfied with the meeting.
- 9.7 I was very satisfied with the services provided by the facilitator.
- 9.3 I am satisfied with the outcome of the meeting.

4. What progress did you make?

- 9.6 I know what the next steps following this meeting will be.
- 9.5 I know who is responsible for the next steps.

5. Do you have any other comments that you would like to add? We are very interested in your comments.

- Not all public comments via website, fax, and mail were distributed to the commission.
- Thanks to staff and facilitator for their help and organization to get through all the MOD's and prod. app. in a timely and orderly manner.
- There should be a point at the end of meeting for Commissioners to make comments on agenda.
- On the 1st Title and Summary page of the accessibility waiver requests, please add the complete address and location immediately after the heading.
- The facilitator did an exemplary job of running the code changes, keeping everyone up to speed and efficiently moving the meeting along.
- The facilitator worked continuously from 8:30 am-7pm with only one one-hour lunch break. The code amendment process was led by the facilitator efficiently and intelligently.
- Public should have tables and chairs
- The paper volume was incredible. "E-books" can be loaded with information; can be marked, and over time will save money versus printing. Each commissioner could carry FBC and appropriate F.S. for all meetings. Would be a great tool. Also could be a potential product for commission/ DCA to offer participants at a nominal fee for monthly download-
- Code
- Code updates
- Accessibility case results
- Other relevant issues and data
- E-links to standards
- Research etc
 - The device could be a:
 - Notebook computer, or
 - Hand held "Book size" device with capacity to mark up (write on) the attachments to make notes for commission meetings
 - Recommendation:
 - Recommend smaller e-mail packages for smaller system limits of recipients; please continue the e-mail of information, it saves paper and tries to provide for more commissions
 - Estimate cost of laptop versus annual or 4 year print costs
 - DCA provide e-books to commissioners or "e-book" type system
- P.S. Thank you for tea on day number two in addition to coffee.

ATTACHMENT 2

REVISED COMMISSION WORKPLAN

FLORIDA BUILDING COMMISSION 2003 WORKPLAN

2004 Update of the Florida Building Code:

Phase I, Approval of Florida specific statewide and local amendments:

Amendment submittal cutoff (independent submittals)	4/18/03
Post on website (independent/base code updates/local amends)	4/23/03
TACs review and develop recommendations	6/16-18/03
TACs complete review and recommendations	7/14/03
Post TAC recommendations on website	7/25/03
Commission considers TACs recommendations and approve amends	10/13-14/03

Phase II, Consider model code changes together with all approved statewide and local amendments, draft rule changes and adopt by rule:

Administration, Fire and Structural TACs review and develop recommendations on which Florida specific amendments to integrate into the IBC and IRC	12/03 to 1/04
Plumbing and Mechanical TACs review and develop recommendations on which Florida specific amendments to integrate into the IRC plumbing, mechanical and fuel gas chapters	12/03 to 1/04
Rule development workshop	3/1-3/04
Rule adoption hearing	4/19-20/04
2004 Code posted to Web and printed for delivery	7/1/04
Effective date of first update	1/1/05

Also, see chart

2006 Annual Interim Amendments:

Amendment submittal cutoff (independent submittals)	To be determined
Post on website (independent/base code updates/local amends)	To be determined
TAC's consider	To be determined
Post TAC recommendations on website	To be determined
Commission considers	To be determined
Rule development workshop	To be determined
Rule adoption hearing	To be determined
Effective date of first update	To be determined

Adopt Revised Chapter 34 for Existing Buildings

Schedule:

Residential and Commercial building rehab committees established	Mar 2002
Draft code amendments completed	Dec 2002
Draft revisions to law completed	Dec 2002

Report to the Legislature completed (*recommended expedited adoption*) Dec 2002
Bill did not pass/expedited adoption was not approved by the Legislature
 Adopt via the 2004 FBC Update Process (see schedule above)

Develop Code Commentaries:

Plan:

Identify commentary documents to reference from website and do not adopt by rule.
 Amend rules of procedure to require submittal of “rationale” for proposed amendments.
 Capture rationales for proposed amendments, declaratory statements and advisory opinions in BCIS to provide “commentary”.

Voluntary Standards for Building Departments [HB 4181/s.553.76(5), F.S.]

Plan:

Establish a joint development project with the state building officials association, (BOAF),
 with BOAF as lead.

Schedule:

Contractor selected	Apr 2003
BOAF/contractor development	Jun-Dec 2003
First edition of standards	Jan 2004

Appeals Procedures [98-287, LOF/ss.553.73 & .77 & 2000-141, LOF/s.120.80,FS]

Schedule:

Assign to Code Administration TAC for review	Sep 2002
Develop any necessary Code amendments and/or changes to law	Sep-Dec 2002
Submit for 2004 Code Update	Apr 2003
Effective date	Jan 2005

ISO Ratings Program for Building Departments [s.553.77(1)(n),F.S.]

Ongoing: Addressed by establishment of policy on updating the FBC. ISO ratings dependent upon building codes being kept current with national standards.

Building Code Training Program

Core Curricula:

Develop administrative core curricula

Ed TAC develops recommendations Apr-Jul 2003

Develop technical core curricula

Ed TAC meets with licensing board representatives to develop recommendations Apr-Jul 2003

Revise Building Code Training Program Rule 9B-70 to reflect core curricula and advanced code course criteria

Rule development workshop Oct 2003

Rule development workshop Nov 2003

Rule adoption hearing	Nov 2003
Rule effective	Dec2003

Report to Legislature Jan 2004

Coordinate with licensing boards on establishing building code specific CE hour requirements

2003	Ed TAC meets with board representatives to develop recommendations	Jan-Nov
	Boards approve	Dec 2003
	Report to Legislature	Jan 2004

Develop and implement voluntary accreditation program for building code courses
(Depends on program authorization by Legislature)

	Finalize recommendation to 2003 Legislature	Dec 2002
<i>(bill did not pass in Legislature)</i>		
	Continue with system concept development	Feb-Nov 2003
	Finalize recommendation to 2004 Legislature	Nov 2003

Establish procedures for advisory opinions and adopt by rule:

Schedule:

Consider partnership with BOAF	May 2002
BOAF/Staff develop proposed procedures	May-Jun
	2002
Approve procedures	July 2002
Procedure goes into effect (law allows effective before rule)	Aug 2002
RFP issued and contractor hired	Dec 2002
Rule development workshop	May 2003
Rule hearing	Jul 2003
Rule effective	Sep 2003

Review the implementation of s.553.891, F.S., Alternative Plans Review and Inspections, and report to the Legislature on or before January 1, 2004:

Schedule:

Contractor hired to collect data on system operation	Jul 2003
Contractor report due	Sep 2003
Fact finding public workshop	Oct 2003
Review report to the Legislature	Nov 2003
Report submitted to Legislature “on or before January 1, 2004”	Jan 2004

Establish standards and criteria for foundation permits and other “specialty permits”:
(CS/CS/SB 336 & 180, 2001)

Schedule:

Assign to Code Administration TAC	Sep 2002
Recommendations for criteria	Feb 2003
Submit for 2004 FBC edition amendment	Apr 2003
Effective (2004 edition of FBC)	Jan 1, 2005

Amend Product Approval Rule

Rule clarification:

TAC workshop 1	Nov 2002
TAC workshop 2	Dec 2002
Rule development workshop	Jan 2003
Rule adoption hearing	Apr 2003
Hearing on Notice of Proposed Changes	Jul 2003
Amendments to Rule effective	Aug 2003
System mandatory as required by law	Oct 1, 2003

Recognize Equivalent Standards:

Rule development workshop	Oct 2003
Rule adoption hearing	Nov 2003
Amendments to Rule effective	Dec 2003

Code Amendment Schedule for 2004 Edition of the Florida Building Code

Phase I	“Approve” statewide and local	Florida specific amendments
	April 18, 2003	Deadline for submittal of proposed amendments
	April 25, 2003	Proposals posted to web
45 days (min) ¹		
	Week of June 15-20, 2003	TACs consider proposals
	July 14, 2003	Structural TAC complete proposals review
	July 25, 2003	TAC recommendations posted to web
45 days (min) ¹		
	October 13-14, 2003	Commission considers proposals
Phase II	“Consider” model code changes	“Further Consider” Florida specific amendments
	December 2003 Through January 2004	TACs compare model code updates and Florida specific amendments/develop recommendations
	March 2 & 3, 2004	Commission conducts Rule Development Workshop
	April 19 & 20, 2004	Commission conducts Rule Adoption Hearing and votes to file the rule for adoption
		File Rule with DOS for adoption
	July 1, 2004	Code posted on Web
6 months (min) ²		
	January 1, 2005	Code revision implemented

¹ Minimum waiting period required by Florida Statutes

² Minimum delay time for printing, distribution and printing of new codes established by Commission policy

ATTACHMENT 3

COMMISSION WORKPLAN FBC/IBC INTEGRATION

Phase II, Consider model code changes together with all approved statewide and local amendments, draft rule changes and adopt by rule:

- Administration, Fire and Structural TACs review and develop recommendations on which Florida specific amendments to integrate into the IBC and IRC 12/03 to 1/04
 - Plumbing and Mechanical TACs review and develop recommendations on which Florida specific amendments to integrate into the IRC plumbing, mechanical and fuel gas chapters 12/03 to 1/04
 - Rule development workshop 3/1-3/04
 - Rule adoption hearing 4/19-20/04
 - 2004 Code posted to Web and printed for delivery 7/1/04
 - Effective date of first update 1/1/05
- Also, see chart*

Phase I	“Approve” statewide and local	Florida specific amendments
	April 18, 2003	Deadline for submittal of proposed amendments
	April 25, 2003	Proposals posted to web
45 days (min) ¹		
	Week of June 15-20, 2003	TACs consider proposals
	July 14, 2003	Structural TAC complete proposals review
	July 25, 2003	TAC recommendations posted to web
45 days (min) ¹		
	October 13-14, 2003	Commission considers proposals
Phase II	“Consider” model code changes	“Further Consider” Florida specific amendments
	December 2003 Through January 2004	TACs compare model code updates and Florida specific amendments/develop recommendations
	March 2 & 3, 2004	Commission conducts Rule Development Workshop
	April 19 & 20, 2004	Commission conducts Rule Adoption Hearing and votes to file the rule for adoption

		File Rule with DOS for adoption
	July 1, 2004	Code posted on Web
6 months (min) ²		
	January 1, 2005	Code revision implemented

¹ Minimum waiting period required by Florida Statutes

² Minimum delay time for printing, distribution and printing of new codes established by Commission policy