

**TAC RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION REGARDING
PROPOSED ERRATA AND GLITCH AMENDMENTS REVIEW PROCESS
2023 CODE UPDATE PROCESS – 8TH. EDITION (2023), FLORIDA BUILDING CODE
(FOR ADOPTION DECEMBER 12, 2023)**

SECTION 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Facilitator will serve as moderator, call for motions, and assist with adopted process and groundrules.
- One person speaks at a time.
- Limit your comment and be concise. Do not read lengthy prepared statements; summarize and submit complete text of comment for the record.
- Facilitator will limit comments to a maximum of three-minutes (3) per person.
- Offer new points and/or state agreement with previous speakers—Please do not repeat what has been stated.
- Facilitator may terminate a comment if it is repeating previous comments, and not simply stating agreement or offering new points.
- The TAC wants to hear all view-points to ensure all perspectives are considered, and not repeats of the same views.
- Proponents and Opponents not wishing to provide comments are encouraged to raise their hands in support or opposition to proposed errata or glitch amendment to provide the TAC with a sense of stakeholder preferences.

SECTION 2. ERRATA AND GLITCH AMENDMENT CRITERIA

Pursuant to Section 553.73, F.S., in order for a proposed Code change to be accepted as a glitch amendment, it must fall within one of the following criteria:

Section 553.73 (8)(a), F.S. – The Commission may approve amendments that are needed to address:

1. Conflicts within the updated code;
2. Conflicts between the updated code and the Florida Fire Prevention Code adopted under chapter 633;
3. Unintended results from the integration of previously adopted amendments with the model code;
4. Equivalency of standards;
5. Changes to or inconsistencies with federal or state law; or
6. Adoption of an updated edition of the National Electrical Code if the commission finds that delay of implementing the updated edition causes undue hardship to stakeholders or otherwise threatens the public health, safety, and welfare.

(8)(b) The Commission may issue errata to the Code... For purposes of this paragraph, “errata to the Code” means a list of errors on current and previous editions of the Florida Building Code.

SECTION 3. TAC REVIEW OF PROPOSED ERRATA AND GLITCH AMENDMENTS PROCESS

- Facilitator will introduce each proposed errata and glitch amendment by TAC, in turn.
- Proponents of proposed errata or glitch amendment will speak first (3 Minutes/Person).
- Opponents of proposed errata or glitch amendment will follow proponents (3 Minutes/Person).
- Proponents and opponents will be allowed one (1) collective two-minute counterpoint opportunity to address any new point(s) raised by previous commentor(s), but only if they address new points specific to the comment(s) raised by the commentor(s) and if they provide new points. No repeating of previous comments/points.
- **Withdrawal of Glitch Amendment:** A proposed glitch amendment may be withdrawn by the proponent at any time prior to TAC consideration of that amendment.
- After public comment on a proposed errata or glitch amendment the TAC will take action on the proposed amendment by voting either to recommend the Commission approve the amendment, or to deny the amendment.
- A proposed errata or glitch amendment shall not be recommended for approval by the TAC if it does not comply with the criteria for approval pursuant to Section 553.73 (8), Florida Statute.
- The TAC's recommendations will be submitted to the Commission as the TAC's recommendations on proposed errata and glitch amendment to the Florida Building Code.
- The recommendations will be provided to the Commission on consent agendas.
- Motions require a two-thirds (67%) favorable vote for approval*; those amendments which receive less than a two-thirds favorable vote will be considered unapproved and deemed recommended for denial.

**Pursuant to Section 553.73 (3)(b), F.S., in order for a Technical Advisory Committee to make a favorable recommendation to the Commission, the proposal must receive a two-thirds vote of the members present at the Technical Advisory Committee meeting. At least half of the regular members must be present in order to conduct a meeting.*

SECTION 4. CONSENT AGENDAS OF TACs' RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION

CONSENT AGENDA 1 – CONSENT AGENDAS OF ERRATA RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL: TAC recommends errata meet errata definition, and TAC recommends approval of errata As Submitted (AS); or TAC recommends errata meet errata definition, and TAC recommends approval of errata As Modified (AM) by the TAC. The TACs' recommendations for approval of specific proposed errata are on "Consent Agendas Recommended for Approval," one per TAC. The consent agenda of errata recommended for Approval relevant to each TAC will be considered by the Commission as a single consent agenda per TAC.

CONSENT AGENDA 2 – CONSENT AGENDAS OF ERRATA RECOMMENDED FOR DENIAL: TAC recommends errata do not meet errata definition, and TAC recommends Denial; or TAC recommends errata meet errata definition, and TAC recommends Denial on the proposed Code text fix. The TACs' recommendations for denial of specific proposed errata are on "Consent Agendas Recommended for Denial," one per TAC. The consent agenda of errata recommended for Denial relevant to each TAC will be considered by the Commission as a single consent agenda per TAC.

CONSENT AGENDA 3 – CONSENT AGENDAS OF GLITCH AMENDMENTS RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL: TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends approval of Glitch amendments As Submitted (AS); or TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends approval of Glitch amendments As Modified (AM) by the TAC. The TACs’ recommendations for approval of specific proposed Glitch Amendments are on “Consent Agendas Recommended for Approval,” one per TAC. The consent agenda of Glitch Amendments recommended for Approval relevant to each TAC will be considered by the Commission as a single consent agenda per TAC.

CONSENT AGENDA 4 – CONSENT AGENDAS OF GLITCH AMENDMENTS RECOMMENDED FOR DENIAL: TAC recommends amendments do not meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Denial**; or TAC recommends amendments meet Glitch criteria, and TAC recommends **Denial** on the proposed Code text fix. The TACs’ recommendations for denial of specific proposed Glitch Amendments are on “Consent Agendas Recommended for Denial,” one per TAC. The consent agenda of Glitch Amendments recommended for Denial relevant to each TAC will be considered by the Commission as a single consent agenda per TAC.

SECTION 5. COMMISSION CONSIDERATION OF GLITCH (ERRATA) AMENDMENTS

Section 553.73 (8)(b), F.S. The Commission may issue errata to the Code pursuant to the rule adoption procedures in Chapter 120 to list demonstrated errors in provisions contained within the Florida Building Code. The determination of such errors and the issuance of errata to the Code must be approved by a 75-percent supermajority vote of the Commission. For purposes of this paragraph, “errata to the Code” means a list of errors on current and previous editions of the Florida Building Code.